SINGLE BENCH

(1)
(3)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

IN THE MATTER OF **W.P. NO. 1637/05**

MICHAEL FRANCIS , AGED 54 YEARS,
S/O LATE B.DUKALU
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
RURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES,
DANTEWADA SOUTH BASTAR. ——**PETITIONER**

VERSUS

1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH,
THROUGH THE SECRETARY,
PANCHAYAT AVAM GRAMIN VIKASH VIBHAG,
D.K.S. BHAWAN, MANTRALYA, RAIPUR

P.R. No. 1808/05
Presented by Shri ADP Jayamodar
dated 25/5/05

2- CHIEF ENGINEER,
DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYAT AVAM GRAMIN VIKASH,
VIKAS AAYUKT KARAYALAY RAIPUR.

3-CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,
JILA PANCHAYAT, DANTEWADA
DIST-SOUTH BASTAR —**RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION AS DEEMED FIT

COPY
26 JUN 2005
BILASPUR

XI-HC-22

(23)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.1637/ **सन्** **200** 5

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28-4-2005 Mr. Anup Majumdar, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner's main grievance is against order dated 20th April, 2005 (Annexure P-1), whereby the petitioner's services have been repatriated to his original Department. The petitioner's original Department is Irrigation Department where he was working as Assistant Engineer. In the year 1999, the petitioner was taken on deputation in the Department of Rural Engineering Services and was posted at Dantewada. Now, since around about 6 years the petitioner is working at Dantewada, and when the Foreign Department has decided to send him to his original Department, he has approached this Court. It is settled law that the Foreign Department whenever it wants can send the employee back to his original Department, and in this case, particularly, the petitioner has already served Foreign Department for around about six years. Therefore, I do not find any justification to interfere with the impugned order. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed, at the admission stage itself. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1277/2005 stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Soma		